

Samsung Electronics

Other · Suwon, Gyeonggi-do · South Korea



63.1 /100

Improving but Polluting

Score formula:

Pollution ×35% · Reduction ×25%

Investment ×20% · Transparency ×10%

Community ×10%

0
CO₂ T/YR REDUCED

\$0M
CLEAN INVESTMENT

0
PROJECTS

0
VERIFIED DOCS

Report date: June 2, 2026

DEMO DATA — NOT AUDITED

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Executive Summary

Consolidated EcoIQ assessment — Samsung Electronics — June 2026

63.1 EcoIQ SCORE	Improving but Polluting TIER CLASSIFICATION	0 CO ₂ T/YR REDUCED	\$0M TOTAL CLEAN INVEST.	0 COMPLETED PROJECTS	0 VERIFIED DOCUMENTS
----------------------------	--	--	---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

About the Company

Samsung Electronics is one of the world's largest technology companies, producing semiconductors, consumer electronics, and IT solutions. It is the world's largest memory chip and smartphone manufacturer, and a critical node in the global technology supply chain.

Employees	270372
Annual Revenue	\$200.7 billion
Sector	Other


Key Findings

EcoIQ Score	63.1 / 100 — Improving but Polluting. The score reflects weighted performance across 5 environmental pillars.
Pollution Footprint	Score 62/100 (weight 35%). Moderate — improvement room exists.
Reduction Progress	Score 60/100 (weight 25%). Score stable over observation period.
Investment	Score 66/100 (weight 20%). \$0M total clean investment across 0 projects.
Transparency	Score 68/100 (weight 10%). 0 verified documents, 0 pending review.
Community	Score 64/100 (weight 10%). 0 tonnes CO ₂ reduced annually, equivalent to 0 cars off the road.

{# ===== PAGE 3 — SCORE
INTELLIGENCE ===== #}


EcoIQ Score Intelligence

Five-pillar breakdown — weighted composite score analysis



5-Pillar Performance Radar

Pillar Score Breakdown



EcoIQ Score Formula

$$= \text{Pollution} \times 35\% + \text{Reduction} \times 25\% + \text{Investment} \times 20\% + \text{Transparency} \times 10\% + \text{Community} \times 10\%$$

$$= (62 \times 0.35) + (60 \times 0.25) + (66 \times 0.20) + (68 \times 0.10) + (64 \times 0.10) = 63.1$$

Pillar Scoring Detail

PILLAR	WEIGHT	SCORE	CONTRIBUTION	ASSESSMENT
Pollution Footprint	35%	62	62×35% = 62×0.35	Moderate — below target; structured improvement plan recommended.
Reduction Progress	25%	60	60×25% = 60×0.25	Moderate — below target; structured improvement plan recommended.
Investment	20%	66	66×20% = 66×0.20	Moderate — below target; structured improvement plan recommended.
Transparency	10%	68	68×10% = 68×0.10	Moderate — below target; structured improvement plan recommended.
Community Impact	10%	64	64×10% = 64×0.10	Moderate — below target; structured improvement plan recommended.

5-Year Emissions Trend

60-month EcolQ score trajectory —

63.1

START SCORE

63.1

CURRENT SCORE

0.0

5-YEAR CHANGE

**Improving but
Polluting**

CURRENT TIER

Trend Interpretation

Trajectory	Stable performance over the observation period. While stability is noted, proactive improvement is still expected by 2030 targets.
Tier Progression	Current tier: Improving but Polluting . Next tier milestone: reach 70 pts (Transition Leader) — requires approximately 63 → 70 additional composite points.
Data Coverage	0 monthly data points covering . All pillar scores are tracked at monthly cadence; composite score is computed monthly.

{# ===== PAGE 5 — CO₂ &
ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
===== #}

Environmental Impact Analysis

CO₂ reduction, pollution footprint, and community benefit metrics



Pollution Burden Analysis

Pollution Footprint Score	62/100 (Score ≥70 = Good, 50–69 = Moderate, 35–49 = Concerning, <35 = Critical)
Reduction Progress Score	60/100 Year-on-year improvement rate vs sector benchmark.
Annual CO₂ Avoided	0 t/yr (completed + active projects). Pipeline adds a further 0 t/yr when operational.

{# ===== PAGE 6 — KEY
PROJECTS ===== #}

Key Environmental Projects

0 initiatives — 0 completed · 0 active · 0 planned

No projects on record.

{# ===== PAGE 7 —

INVESTMENT EFFICIENCY

===== #}

Investment Efficiency

Clean investment portfolio — cost-per-tonne analysis and capital allocation

\$0M	0	66	—
TOTAL INVESTMENT	PROJECTS	INVESTMENT SCORE	TOTAL USD DEPLOYED

{# ————— PAGE 8 —
MODERNIZATION ROADMAP
————— #}

Modernization Roadmap

Full project timeline — planned, active, and completed initiatives

No roadmap projects on record.

{# ===== PAGE 9 — AI

RECOMMENDATIONS

===== #}

Priority Recommendations

AI-assisted gap analysis — based on pillar scores and evidence base

No critical recommendations — company scores above threshold on all pillars.

Note: These recommendations are generated by EcolQ's AI gap-analysis engine using pillar score thresholds and publicly available evidence. They are indicative only and do not constitute formal environmental or legal advice. Full due diligence by qualified environmental consultants is recommended before implementation.

SECTION 9

UN Sustainable Development Goal Alignment

SDG mapping across 0 environmental projects — 0 goals addressed



Active SDGs (coloured) are those directly addressed by at least one project. Greyed tiles are not yet covered by current project portfolio.

#	GOAL	STATUS
---	------	--------

{# ===== PAGE 11 —

EVIDENCE APPENDIX

##}

Evidence & Verification Register

0 documents — 0 verified · 0 pending · 0 rejected



No evidence documents on record.

{# ===== FINAL PAGE —
METHODOLOGY & DISCLAIMER
===== #}

Scoring Methodology

How EcoIQ scores are computed and what they measure

PILLAR	WEIGHT	WHAT IT MEASURES
Pollution Footprint	35%	Total greenhouse gas emissions, PM2.5, NOx, SOx relative to sector benchmarks. Lower absolute pollution = higher score.
Reduction Progress	25%	Year-on-year decline in emissions intensity. Captures the rate of improvement — essential for Paris Agreement alignment.
Investment	20%	Environmental capex as % of annual revenue, including clean energy, efficiency upgrades, and remediation. MSCI benchmark: $\geq 1\%$ for "credible commitment".
Transparency	10%	Quality of public environmental disclosures. Covers GRI alignment, CDP filings, third-party assurance, and public audit availability.
Community Impact	10%	Measurable benefit to communities: households with clean heating access, air quality improvement, water safety, employment in clean economy.

Tier Classification

Score Range	Tier	Meaning
85–100	Restorative Leader	Net-positive impact — actively restoring ecosystems beyond compliance.
70–84	Transition Leader	On a credible Paris-aligned trajectory with demonstrated investment.
55–69	Improving but Polluting	Positive trend but material pollution burden remains. Structural improvement needed.
40–54	High Impact / Weak Repair	High pollution footprint with insufficient remediation investment. Regulatory risk elevated.
0–39	Major Polluter	Among the highest-impact emitters with minimal evidence of remediation. Immediate action required.

Disclaimer. This report is generated by the EcoIQ Environmental Intelligence Platform using publicly available data, government records, and company-submitted evidence. All scores, tiers, and recommendations are indicative. EcoIQ does not guarantee the completeness or accuracy of underlying data. This document is for informational purposes only and does not constitute investment, legal, or environmental compliance advice. For regulatory purposes, engage a certified environmental auditor.

Data period: . Generated: June 2, 2026. Company: Samsung Electronics.