{# ═══ PAGE 1 — COVER ═══ #}

EcoIQ Environmental Intelligence Platform

ECOIQ ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPORT

Tabuk Agricultural Development

Agriculture · Saudi Arabia

41.6/100

High Impact / Weak Repair

Score formula:
Pollution ×35% · Reduction ×25%
Investment ×20% · Transparency ×10%
Community ×10%

0	$0M	0	0
CO_2 T/YR REDUCED	CLEAN INVESTMENT	PROJECTS	VERIFIED DOCS

Report date: June 3, 2026

DEMO DATA — NOT AUDITED

{# ═══ PAGE 2 — EXECUTIVE SUMMARY ═══ #}

SECTION 1

Executive Summary

Consolidated EcoIQ assessment — Tabuk Agricultural Development — June 2026

41.6	High Impact / Weak Repair	0	$0M	0	0
ECOIQ SCORE	TIER CLASSIFICATION	CO_2 T/YR REDUCED	TOTAL CLEAN INVEST.	COMPLETED PROJECTS	VERIFIED DOCUMENTS

About the Company

Tabuk Agricultural Development is a agriculture company based in , Saudi Arabia.

Key Findings

EcoIQ Score	**41.6** / 100 — High Impact / Weak Repair. The score reflects weighted performance across 5 environmental pillars.
Pollution Footprint	Score 40/100 (weight 35%). Below threshold — emissions management needs urgent attention.
Reduction Progress	Score 41/100 (weight 25%). Score stable over observation period.
Investment	Score 40/100 (weight 20%). $0M total clean investment across 0 projects.
Transparency	Score 41/100 (weight 10%). 0 verified documents, 0 pending review.
Community	Score 47/100 (weight 10%). 0 tonnes CO_2 reduced annually, equivalent to 0 cars off the road.

{# ═══ PAGE 3 — SCORE INTELLIGENCE ═══ #}

SECTION 2

EcoIQ Score Intelligence

Five-pillar breakdown — weighted composite score analysis



5-Pillar Performance Radar

Pillar Score Breakdown

Pollution Footprint	40	×35%
Reduction Progress	41	×25%
Investment	40	×20%
Transparency	41	×10%
Community Impact	47	×10%

EcoIQ Score Formula

= Pollution×35% + Reduction×25% + Investment×20% + Transparency×10% + Community×10%

= (40×0.35) + (41×0.25) + (40×0.20) + (41×0.10) + (47×0.10) = **41.6**

Pillar Scoring Detail

PILLAR	WEIGHT	SCORE	CONTRIBUTION	ASSESSMENT
Pollution Footprint	35%	40	40×35% = 40×0.35	Weak — significant gaps; priority intervention required.
Reduction Progress	25%	41	41×25% = 41×0.25	Weak — significant gaps; priority intervention required.
Investment	20%	40	40×20% = 40×0.20	Weak — significant gaps; priority intervention required.
Transparency	10%	41	41×10% = 41×0.10	Weak — significant gaps; priority intervention required.
Community Impact	10%	47	47×10% = 47×0.10	Weak — significant gaps; priority intervention required.

{# ═══ PAGE 4 — EMISSIONS TREND ═══ #}

SECTION 3

5-Year Emissions Trend

60-month EcoIQ score trajectory —

41.6	41.6	0.0	High Impact / Weak Repair
START SCORE	CURRENT SCORE	5-YEAR CHANGE	CURRENT TIER

Trend Interpretation

Trajectory	Stable performance over the observation period. While stability is noted, proactive improvement is still expected by 2030 targets.
Tier Progression	Current tier: **High Impact** / **Weak Repair**. Next tier milestone: reach 55 pts (Improving but Polluting) — requires approximately 42 → 55 additional composite points.
Data Coverage	0 monthly data points covering . All pillar scores are tracked at monthly cadence; composite score is computed monthly.

{# ═══ PAGE 5 — CO_2 & ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ═══ #}

SECTION 4

Environmental Impact Analysis

CO_2 reduction, pollution footprint, and community benefit metrics

0	0	0	0
TOTAL CO_2 T/YR REDUCED	FROM COMPLETED PROJECTS	FROM ACTIVE PROJECTS	PLANNED (PIPELINE)

0	0	0
EQUIVALENT CARS REMOVED (@ 4.6 t CO_2/vehicle/year)	TREE-YEAR EQUIVALENTS (1t CO_2 ≈ 45 tree-years)	COMPLETED PROJECTS of 0 total

Pollution Burden Analysis

Pollution Footprint Score	40/100 (Score ≥70 = Good, 50–69 = Moderate, 35–49 = Concerning, <35 = Critical)
Reduction Progress Score	41/100 Year-on-year improvement rate vs sector benchmark.
Annual CO_2 Avoided	0 t/yr (completed + active projects). Pipeline adds a further 0 t/yr when operational.

{# ═══ PAGE 6 — KEY PROJECTS ═══ #}

SECTION 5

Key Environmental Projects

0 initiatives — 0 completed · 0 active · 0 planned

No projects on record.

{# ═══ PAGE 7 — INVESTMENT EFFICIENCY ═══ #}

SECTION 6

Investment Efficiency

Clean investment portfolio — cost-per-tonne analysis and capital allocation

$0M	0	40	—
TOTAL INVESTMENT	PROJECTS	INVESTMENT SCORE	TOTAL USD DEPLOYED

{# ═══ PAGE 8 — MODERNIZATION ROADMAP ═══ #}

SECTION 7

Modernization Roadmap

Full project timeline — planned, active, and completed initiatives

No roadmap projects on record.

{# ═══ PAGE 9 — AI RECOMMENDATIONS
═══ #}

SECTION 8

Priority Recommendations

AI-assisted gap analysis — based on pillar scores and evidence base

CRITICAL

Deploy Continuous Emissions Monitoring (CEMS)

Pollution Footprint +8–15 pts

No real-time stack monitoring found in the evidence base. CEMS provides tamper-evident, near-real-time telemetry that satisfies both Kazakhstan Environmental Code (2022) Tier-1 requirements and MSCI ESG data quality thresholds.

Aligned SDGs: SDG 9 SDG 11 SDG 13

HIGH

Set a Science-Based Emissions Reduction Target (SBTi)

Reduction Progress +10–18 pts

No independently verified GHG pathway detected. An SBTi 1.5 °C-aligned commitment unlocks green bond eligibility, improves Bloomberg ESG score inputs, and signals credibility to institutional investors applying Paris-aligned screening.

Aligned SDGs: SDG 13 SDG 17

HIGH

Increase Environmental Capex to ≥1% of Annual Revenue

Investment pillar +12–20 pts

Current clean investment appears below the sector median for Agriculture. The 1% annual revenue threshold is the MSCI ESG benchmark used to distinguish "credible commitment" from "token compliance" in heavy-industry ratings.

Aligned SDGs: SDG 9 SDG 13

MEDIUM

Publish Annual GRI/TCFD-Aligned Sustainability Report

Transparency +15–25 pts

No GRI Standards, TCFD framework, or CDP disclosure found. Annual third-party assured reporting is now expected of all Tier-1 permitted emitters under Kazakhstan's Environmental Code revision (2022), and required for S&P 500 supply-chain ESG audits.

Aligned SDGs: SDG 16 SDG 17

Note: These recommendations are generated by EcoIQ's AI gap-analysis engine using pillar score thresholds and publicly available evidence. They are indicative only and do not constitute formal environmental or legal advice. Full due diligence by qualified environmental consultants is recommended before implementation.

{# ═══ PAGE 10 — SDG ALIGNMENT ═══ #}

SECTION 9

UN Sustainable Development Goal Alignment

SDG mapping across 0 environmental projects — 0 goals addressed

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

Active SDGs (coloured) are those directly addressed by at least one project. Greyed tiles are not yet covered by current project portfolio.

#	GOAL	STATUS

{# ═══ PAGE 11 — EVIDENCE APPENDIX ═══ #}

APPENDIX A

Evidence & Verification Register

0 documents — 0 verified · 0 pending · 0 rejected

0	0	0
VERIFIED	PENDING	REJECTED

No evidence documents on record.

{# ═══ FINAL PAGE — METHODOLOGY & DISCLAIMER ═══ #}

APPENDIX B

Scoring Methodology

How EcoIQ scores are computed and what they measure

PILLAR	WEIGHT	WHAT IT MEASURES
Pollution Footprint	**35%**	Total greenhouse gas emissions, PM2.5, NOx, SOx relative to sector benchmarks. Lower absolute pollution = higher score.
Reduction Progress	**25%**	Year-on-year decline in emissions intensity. Captures the rate of improvement — essential for Paris Agreement alignment.
Investment	**20%**	Environmental capex as % of annual revenue, including clean energy, efficiency upgrades, and remediation. MSCI benchmark: ≥1% for "credible commitment".
Transparency	**10%**	Quality of public environmental disclosures. Covers GRI alignment, CDP filings, third-party assurance, and public audit availability.
Community Impact	**10%**	Measurable benefit to communities: households with clean heating access, air quality improvement, water safety, employment in clean economy.

Tier Classification

Score Range	Tier	Meaning
85–100	**Restorative Leader**	Net-positive impact — actively restoring ecosystems beyond compliance.
70–84	**Transition Leader**	On a credible Paris-aligned trajectory with demonstrated investment.
55–69	**Improving but Polluting**	Positive trend but material pollution burden remains. Structural improvement needed.
40–54	**High Impact / Weak Repair**	High pollution footprint with insufficient remediation investment. Regulatory risk elevated.
0–39	**Major Polluter**	Among the highest-impact emitters with minimal evidence of remediation. Immediate action required.

Disclaimer. This report is generated by the EcoIQ Environmental Intelligence Platform using publicly available data, government records, and company-submitted evidence. All scores, tiers, and recommendations are indicative. EcoIQ does not guarantee the completeness or accuracy of underlying data. This document is for informational purposes only and does not constitute investment, legal, or environmental compliance advice. For regulatory purposes, engage a certified environmental auditor.

Data period: . Generated: June 3, 2026. Company: Tabuk Agricultural Development.